119 MINISTRIES

"The following is a direct script of a teaching that is intended to be presented via video, incorporating relevant text, slides, media, and graphics to assist in illustration, thus facilitating the presentation of the material. In some places, this may cause the written material to not flow or sound rather awkward in some places. In addition, there may be grammatical errors that are often not acceptable in literary work. We encourage the viewing of the video teachings to complement the written teaching you see below."

Daniel 2: Iron and Clay, the Two Legs of Rome, and the Final Mixed Condition

In Daniel 2, King Nebuchadnezzar is given a dream of a great statue made of different materials. Daniel explains that the image represents a succession of kingdoms. The head of gold is Babylon. The chest and arms of silver point to the Medo-Persian Empire, the kingdom that followed Babylon. Daniel 8 explicitly identifies Medo-Persia and then Greece in sequence, which fits the same progression beginning with Babylon in Daniel 2. The belly and thighs of bronze point to Greece. Historically, the empire that succeeded Greece was Rome, which brings us to the legs of iron and, finally, the feet partly of iron and partly of clay.

Because this final phase, that is, the mixture of iron and clay, is often associated with the end-times kingdom that opposes the reign of Messiah, many connect it to the broader "beast system" language drawn from Daniel 7 and Revelation. With that context in view, we want to present a theory for consideration.

To help frame the rest of this teaching, here is the inference scale we are using. Rome as the iron is the strongest historical inference in Daniel 2. The two legs as the eastern and western development of Rome is a historical inference that we believe needs to be addressed, since the presence of two legs must prophetically signify something, even though Daniel does not explicitly interpret them. The Europe and Islam application to the final mixed phase is a more speculative theory for consideration.

In Daniel 2:33, Nebuchadnezzar's image is described as having "its legs of iron, its feet partly of iron and partly of clay." Daniel later explains in Daniel 2:40-43 that this final kingdom carries both strength and brittleness. It crushes like iron, yet it is divided and unstable like clay. Then comes the key statement in Daniel 2:43:

Daniel 2:43

> As you saw the iron mixed with soft clay, so they will mix with one another in marriage, but they will not hold together, just as iron does not mix with clay.

One reason this subject becomes confusing is because the discussion is often framed too narrowly from the start. Some argue that the beast system must be Europe. Others argue that it must be Islam. But

Daniel 2 does not present a final kingdom made of one pure substance. It presents a final kingdom made of a mixture. So the Europe-or-Islam discussion may itself be part of the problem. The answer may not be one or the other. It may be both, in a later mixed form.

At the same time, we also want to be careful. Daniel 2 already gives iron a meaning. Iron represents the Roman Empire and its continuing legacy. So the strongest reading is not that Islam replaces Rome as iron. Rather, the stronger reading is that Rome remains the iron, and later that Roman-derived order enters into a mixed and unstable final phase.

That distinction matters. The legs are iron. The feet are mixed. So the most natural way to frame this is that the Roman system develops in two directions, and then later both streams arrive at a final condition of mixture, weakness, and instability.

That is the interpretive adjustment we want to make here. Put simply: Rome is the iron. The clay refers to the brittle, incompatible elements mixed into Rome's final phase.

Why some identify the beast primarily with Europe

Many see Europe as the clearest answer because the fourth kingdom in Daniel 2 and Daniel 7 is commonly understood as Rome. Since Rome historically developed into the western world and shaped Europe in an enduring way, they expect the final beast system to arise out of that same Roman legacy. They also point to the long-standing interpretation that the beast is some form of revived Roman Empire. To many, that means Europe, its institutions, its history, and its political-economic influence.

Why some identify the beast primarily with Islam

Others see Islam as the clearer answer because so much end-times prophecy centers around Israel and the surrounding nations. They note that the geography of the final conflicts often points toward the Middle East, not merely western Europe. They also see in Islam a much stronger legal-religious identity than the modern West, along with themes of coercion, martyrdom, and beheading that they connect to tribulation passages.

We would suggest that both sides are seeing something real. The Europe view rightly sees the Roman continuity. The Islam view rightly sees that the final conflict likely involves powers beyond the modern West alone. The mistake may be in assuming the final kingdom must be reduced to just one of those streams, when Daniel 2 explicitly describes a later mixed final order.

The Two Legs of Iron

This is where the two legs become very important.

The image does not have one iron leg. It has two. Daniel does not explicitly interpret the significance of the two legs, so identifying them with east and west Rome remains an inference. At the same time, the fact that there are two legs is part of the vision and must mean something. Some interpretive account has to be given for that feature. We would suggest that the east-west development of Rome is the clearest explanation of the two legs that we can see.

From the western leg came the Latin, Roman, European world. That world shaped western Christendom, especially through Rome and Roman Catholic influence, and over time became the political and cultural framework of Europe and the wider West.

From the eastern leg came the Byzantine sphere centered in Constantinople. Then, in time, that eastern Roman world fell to Ottoman Islamic power. So when we say that Europe and Islam may both be involved in the final beast system, we are not forcing two unrelated things together. We are recognizing that both can be traced through the two historical trajectories that emerged from the iron legs of Rome.

One leg matured into the western order. One leg matured into the eastern order. The western order gave rise to Europe and the broader West. The eastern order, centered in Constantinople and the Turkish sphere, eventually became dominated by Islam.

That means the later mingling of Europe and Islam is not an arbitrary theory. It may be what we should expect if the two iron legs of Rome eventually flow forward into the mixed feet of iron and clay.

But here is the key connection. We should not say the two legs themselves are iron and clay. The text does not say that. The legs are iron. The feet are mixed. So the better way to say it is that both the western Roman stream and the eastern Roman stream later arrive at the mixed feet. In other words, both sides become part of the final mixed and weakened condition.

That, we think, is a better way to frame what Daniel describes.

The Feet as the Later Condition of Both Streams

So what, then, do the feet represent?

The feet appear to represent the later condition of the Roman-derived order, after the two iron streams have already developed. The feet are not a third totally unrelated kingdom. They are the final phase of the same image. They still contain iron, which shows continuity with Rome. But clay has entered the picture, and the result is instability.

That means the final condition of both streams becomes mixed and weak later.

- The western side does not remain pure iron forever.
- The eastern side does not remain pure iron forever.
- The final form at the base is mixed.

If we trace the westward Roman stream and the eastward Roman stream forward into the feet, then both sides participate in that final mixed condition.

The Clay and the Weakness of the West

We still think there is a real case for seeing the modern West as displaying clay-like characteristics. Clay has shape, but little strength. It can be molded and manipulated. It is fragile. That describes the modern western order in many ways. It still has wealth, institutions, and influence, but it has lost moral clarity, and the will to defend its own inheritance.

The West is not weak in every sense. The United States in particular still has extraordinary military strength, and NATO as a military structure is formidable on paper. So we should not speak as though the West is weak in every possible way.

But military strength is not the only kind of strength. A civilization can be militarily powerful and still be spiritually brittle, politically incoherent, morally compromised, and socially fragile. That is the point we want to preserve. The modern West often looks strong outwardly while being deeply unstable inwardly.

That is why we think the language of clay still has relevance. It is not that the West lacks all power. It is that the West increasingly lacks cohesion, conviction, and durability.

The Clay and the Divided Nature of the Non-Roman Elements

At the same time, Islam also shows clay-like characteristics in its fragmentation. There is no single unified Islamic authority. The Sunni-Shia divide remains deep. Rival power centers such as Iran, Saudi Arabia, and Turkey do not move as one. Conflicts in Yemen, Syria, and Iraq show repeated fracture within the Islamic world itself.

A better way to frame it may be this: the clay represents the divided, incompatible, non-cohesive elements mixed into the Roman-derived order in its final phase. The modern West may display many clay-like traits. Islam may also display many clay-like traits in its fragmentation. The point is not that only one side is clay in a simplistic sense. The point is that the final Roman-derived order ends in a brittle mixture.

Europe Has Already Mingled

If we are going to claim that the European Union and the wider western European bloc have already mingled with Islam, then we should look at the dominant western nations people usually have in mind when they say Europe: countries like France, Germany, Belgium, the Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom.

Recent population data shows substantial Muslim populations inside these major western nations. These are not tiny, negligible footholds in fringe corners of Europe. These are significant populations within the major western countries that shape Europe's politics, social pressures, and international posture. The point is not that population figures prove prophecy. The point is that they show the mingling is already socially visible.

That matters because the final mixture in Daniel is not merely theoretical. Europe has already mingled internally in visible ways. That helps explain why Europe often reacts as it does to Middle East affairs. A Europe that has already mingled internally will increasingly find itself constrained externally as well. What has happened domestically affects what can be said internationally. When nations have already surrendered clarity within their own borders, they often lose the courage to speak clearly outside them.

Daniel 9:11 and the Pattern of Captivity

This is where Daniel 9:11 becomes very helpful, and we should keep Daniel's original context in view. Daniel is praying about Israel's sin and the fact that they were under foreign domination, specifically Babylonian domination. The immediate context is covenant rebellion leading to captivity under

Babylon. We are not claiming that Daniel 9 directly predicts modern geopolitics; we are drawing a pattern from the principle Daniel identifies.

Daniel 9:11

> All Israel has transgressed your law and turned aside, refusing to obey your voice. And the curse and oath that are written in the Law of Moses the servant of God have been poured out upon us, because we have sinned against him.

That is a profound pattern. In Daniel's own context, captivity under Babylon came because they rejected YHWH and would not listen to his voice. The issue was not merely military weakness. The issue was covenant rebellion. That same principle still stands. When a people reject YHWH's voice, judgment follows. When a nation refuses his rule, captivity becomes possible.

That is why this matters prophetically. The weakness of the final order is not merely political. It is spiritual. Rebellion against YHWH produces vulnerability.

There is also a language point in Daniel 9:11 that some have noticed, but we should handle it carefully. The Hebrew word translated "curse" there is this Hebrew word אָלָה, transliterated as *alah* [ʿ*alah* or *alah*], and standard lexical pronunciation is usually given roughly as aw-law or ah-lah. That means it is not formally the same word as Arabic Allah, which is commonly explained as deriving from al-ilāh, meaning "the God." So this is not a strong linguistic argument, and we should not build doctrine on it as though it proves something by itself. At the same time, we also do not think the resemblance should be dismissed too quickly as meaningless. It is, at minimum, a suggestive resonance in a passage about rejecting YHWH's voice and coming under judgment. So we would treat it as interesting and possibly meaningful, but not strong enough to carry the argument on its own.

The Final Mixture and Incompatible Worldviews

This becomes even more sobering when we remember the Great Tribulation. Revelation 20:4 speaks of those who were "beheaded for the testimony of Yeshua and for the word of God." In the modern world, jihadist movements have made beheading one of the most recognizable forms of religious terror. That does not prove the whole case by itself, but it certainly makes the connection worth sober consideration. Our concern here is not ethnic, racial, or personal. Followers of Yeshua are called to love Muslims as neighbors and proclaim the gospel to them. The issue is not hostility toward people, but discernment regarding systems and end-times pressures.

And so the mixture begins to make more sense. The final Roman-derived order contains incompatible forces. Western liberalism and Islamic legal-religious civilization are not naturally compatible. Their worldviews, moral assumptions, law structures, and ultimate loyalties are deeply different. And yet they are trying to mix anyway.

This is where Daniel 2:43 offers another layer of interpretive support. Just as iron does not mix naturally with clay, these worldviews do not truly belong together. The point of the verse is not that the mixture works. The point is that the mixture is attempted despite deep incompatibility.

That makes the prophetic picture even more compelling. We are watching systems that do not truly belong together being pressed together anyway. The iron does not become clay. The clay does not become iron. They remain what they are, and that is why the union stays brittle.

That is why Daniel 2:43 is so important. The final kingdom does not simply conquer. It mingles. It enters. It settles. It pressures. It leverages. It fuses. If open conflict becomes costly, mingling becomes more useful. That is part of the final form.

Babylon as a Picture of False Unity

This is where Babylon comes into view. Babylon in Scripture is more than a location. It is the symbol of a confused, composite, rebellious world order. It is false unity. It is civilization against YHWH. It is man trying to hold together what YHWH has not joined. In that sense, Babylon often functions as a picture of rebellious human unity against YHWH. From that angle, the iron-and-clay mixture may reflect a Babylon-like final order.

And where will the people of YHWH stand in all of this?

They will stand against the mixing.

Not in a fleshly sense of hatred toward neighbors, but in a covenantal sense of refusing to unite with wickedness. The saints will not bow to the mixture. They will not let the clay seduce them with ease. They will not let the iron intimidate them into surrender. They will not sell their birthright. They will not enthrone man as god. They will not join Babel's rebellion. They will remain holy in the midst of a mixed and collapsing order.

That resistance will cost them. The final mixed system will pressure all who refuse to conform. But the people of YHWH are not called to fit into the mixture. They are called to remain separate from Babylon, faithful to YHWH, and steadfast in the testimony of Yeshua.

Common Criticisms and Responses

One criticism is that the fourth kingdom is plainly Rome, so the iron cannot be reassigned.

We would respond by saying that this objection is basically right, and that is why we should not redefine iron. The safer reading is to keep iron as Rome and its continuing legacy. Our claim is not that Islam replaces Rome as iron. Our claim is that the final Roman-derived system mixes with powerful, incompatible non-Roman elements, and Islam appears to be one of the clearest candidates for that role.

Another criticism is that this view unfairly singles out Islam and risks treating all Muslims as enemies.

That concern should be taken seriously. We are not talking about every Muslim individual. We are not collapsing human beings into caricatures. We are speaking of systems, civilizations, and end-times trajectories. Scripture regularly speaks in those terms. We can love individuals, tell the truth, and still recognize that a religious or political system may play a major prophetic role.

Another criticism is that the clay should only mean weakness in general, not specifically Europe or the West.

Clay does represent weakness, brittleness, and instability more broadly. The modern West clearly displays many clay-like traits. Islam also displays fragmentation that can look clay-like in different ways. The stronger point is not to force a simplistic one-to-one identity, but to say that the final Roman-derived order ends in a brittle mixture of incompatible elements.

Another criticism is that violence and beheading are not unique to Islam.

That is true in the broad sweep of history. But the issue here is not uniqueness in all of history. The issue is present prophetic relevance. Among the major ideological and religious systems active in the world today, jihadist Islam stands out in a sobering way as a force visibly associated with religious beheading, legal severity, and transnational coercion. That makes the connection worthy of serious consideration in light of Revelation's martyr language.

Another criticism is that this approach reads current events into the Bible.

That is always a danger in prophecy teaching, and we should admit it. But there is an equal danger in refusing to let prophecy illuminate the real world at all. The answer is not to abandon application. The answer is humility. We let Scripture set the categories, and then we test whether present realities fit those categories. We do not force the text to match the headlines, but neither do we ignore obvious patterns when the world begins to resemble the prophetic picture.

Another criticism is that Daniel 2:43 is only about political alliances or intermarriage in a general sense.

We agree that the verse speaks broadly of mingling, alliances, and unstable union. That is exactly why this interpretation has strength. We are not claiming that the verse explicitly names Europe and Islam. We are saying that the broad language of mingling, strength, weakness, and false unity, along with the obvious incompatibility between major elements in the present order, fits this reading better than a forced either-or approach.

Another criticism is that this overlooks technocracy, financial control, and global governance as beast features.

We would say these are not competing alternatives. Babylon is large enough to include political, economic, technological, and religious dimensions all at once. The iron-and-clay mixture may be the civilizational core of a wider beast system that also includes digital control, economic coercion, and global administration. The final order can be composite, just like the image itself.

Conclusion

So where does this leave us?

It leaves us with a final kingdom that is likely mixed, not pure. It leaves us with a beast system that may be badly misunderstood when people argue whether it is Europe or Islam. It may very well involve both. Rome remains the iron framework. The two legs of Rome matter historically. The final phase at the feet is where the Roman-derived order becomes mixed, brittle, and unstable.

That final mixture appears to involve multiple incompatible elements. The modern West shows many clay-like traits in its spiritual, political, and cultural fragility. Islam appears to be one of the most significant non-Roman elements pressing into that final mixture, especially through the eastern trajectory that grew out of the Roman world through Constantinople and beyond.

We are not claiming to settle every detail. We are submitting this as a theory for consideration. But we do believe it is one of the more coherent ways to read the image: the legs establish Rome in two directions, and the feet show the later mixed condition into which both streams finally arrive.

And yet the most important truth in Daniel 2 is not the identity of the iron or the clay. The most important truth is the stone.

In Daniel 2:34-35, the stone cut without hands strikes the image on its feet, the place of final mixture, and the whole system collapses. Then in Daniel 2:44, we are told:

Daniel 2:44

> And in the days of those kings the God of heaven will set up a kingdom that shall never be destroyed.

That is our hope.

The iron will not win. The clay will not win. The mixture will not hold. Babylon will fall.
…And the Kingdom of YHWH will stand forever.

We pray you have been blessed by this teaching.

Remember, continue to test everything. Shalom! For more on this and other teachings, please visit us at www.testeverything.net

Shalom, and may Yahweh bless you in walking in the whole Word of God.

EMAIL: Info@119ministries.com
FACEBOOK: www.facebook.com/119Ministries
WEBSITE: www.TestEverything.net
TWITTER: www.twitter.com/119Ministries#