

119

GROUP STUDY GUIDE

Answering Atheists: The Case of the Slandered Bride (Deuteronomy 22:13-21)

TEACHING SUMMARY

This teaching examines the claim that Deuteronomy 22:13–21 mistreats women. It interprets the main case as legislation protecting a bride from a husband who slanders her. The husband's dissatisfaction and accusation are read as a likely attempt to escape his marital responsibilities, perhaps also to recover the bride price. The teaching distinguishes these proposed motives from details stated explicitly in the passage.

The bride's parents bring evidence before the elders at the city gate. The teaching favors the interpretation that the parents initiate a slander case against the husband, making him the defendant. On this reading, his accusation was made in the community rather than as formal courtroom testimony. This distinction explains why the false-witness penalty in Deuteronomy 19 is not applied to him in this case.

When the bride is vindicated, the husband is whipped, fined one hundred shekels of silver, and forbidden to divorce her. The teaching explains these penalties as deterrents to slander and as protection for her reputation and material support. Public judgment also makes the community responsible for holding the husband accountable for his conduct.

The teaching addresses objections to the cloth presented as evidence. It explains its role within the ancient setting as evidence sufficient to defeat the husband's accusations, while also discussing family testimony, other witnesses, and the elders' duty to protect the innocent. It does not treat the absence of a blood-stained cloth as sufficient by itself to establish guilt. The overall reading emphasizes the strong legal position of the bride and her family.

Verses 20–21 introduce a separate hypothetical case in which the accusation is true. The teaching notes that other Torah passages do not prescribe death for premarital sex itself. It offers two possible explanations for the capital offense here: adultery during betrothal, or deliberate deception that aggravates the offense. These explanations are presented as interpretations rather than a certainty about the circumstances.

The teaching further argues that the stated death penalty represents the harshest permitted punishment and that a lesser penalty could be sought. It supports this interpretation through comparison with other biblical laws and ancient law codes. It closes by emphasizing ways the case could be avoided, including faithfulness, honesty, and addressing marital difficulties without slander. Its central conclusion is that the legislation protects women's welfare and reputation.

SUMMARY POINTS

- The teaching reads the main case as protection against a husband's slander.
- A wish to divorce and possibly reclaim the bride price is offered as the husband's motive.
- The preferred interpretation identifies the bride's parents as the plaintiffs.
- Community slander is distinguished from false testimony formally given in court.
- The vindicated bride's husband is whipped and fined one hundred shekels of silver.
- The husband is also forbidden to divorce her, preserving his responsibility to provide for her.
- The cloth, supporting testimony, and public hearing are discussed as protections for the bride.
- The guilty-bride counter-case is distinguished from the main slander case.
- Adultery during betrothal and deliberate deception are offered as possible explanations of the capital offense.
- The teaching argues for the possibility of a lesser penalty and emphasizes honesty and marital faithfulness.

FILL-IN-THE-BLANK

Basic

1. The passage examined in this teaching is Deuteronomy _____.
2. The people who bring evidence in the bride's defense are her _____.
3. The case is heard by the elders of the city at the _____.
4. The object spread before the elders as evidence is called a _____ in the quoted translation.
5. The husband's fine is one hundred shekels of _____.
6. After his slander is established, the husband may never _____ his wife.

Challenging

1. In the interpretation favored by the teaching, the parents initiate the lawsuit and are therefore the _____.
2. On that reading, the husband is the _____ in the slander case.
3. The teaching distinguishes community slander from false _____ given in court.
4. The husband may have wanted to recover the payment described as the bride _____.
5. One proposed explanation is that sex during the betrothal period would constitute _____.
6. In the ox-owner example used to discuss lesser penalties, the owner may pay a _____ for his life.

GROUP DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

1. How does identifying the parents as plaintiffs change the teaching's reading of the case?
2. Why does the teaching distinguish slander in the community from formal false testimony in court?
3. How do the fine, public punishment, and restriction on divorce relate to the motives attributed to the husband?
4. What protections beyond the cloth does the teaching identify for the bride?
5. What are the two proposed explanations of the offense in the guilty-bride counter-case, and why are they presented as possibilities?
6. How does the teaching support its view that a lesser penalty could be sought, and what steps does it say could prevent the situation?

ANSWER KEY – GROUP GUIDE

Basic

1. 22:13–21
2. parents
3. gate
4. cloak
5. silver
6. divorce

Challenging

1. plaintiffs
2. defendant
3. testimony
4. price
5. adultery
6. ransom